

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003400070015-4
SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Executive Director-Comptroller	4/22	
2	DD S		
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

4/23

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

Deputy Director for Support

APR 1978

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003400070015-4

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Ex-100 Registry

70-1831/1

DD/S 70-1512

17 APR 1970

DD / S REGISTRY

FILE OMI

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

Colonel White:

AT You asked why we didn't update the titles in []
AT when we reprinted it to put [] on a separate page.
AT

AT [] was substantially revised and fully coordinated.
AT In the process it was reduced from more than two pages to less than
AT one. Since the old [] began on the last page of [] we had
to reprint that page or hold [] could be revised.

AT If we had revised [] to make the titles current,
we would have had to show a current date of revision; and it would have
been necessary to coordinate the proposal. Reissuing [] with a
current date and new titles would have given the impression of current
authenticity, which it doesn't have, and would have conflicted with the
processing of a proposed revision of [] issues about which are
currently being negotiated between the Intelligence Directorate and the
Clandestine Service. We do not have a forecast from the Intelligence
Directorate about when this revision may be ready for processing.
AT Rather than hold [] indefinitely, we felt that it would be better to
publish it and reprint the last page of [] as is, continuing to show
the September 1963 date of its last revision.

AT This mechanical awkwardness could be reduced if we
began each regulation on a new page. This would introduce a lot of
blank white spaces into regulations and expand their volume probably by
as much as 25-35 per cent. The practice has been to try to adapt to the
occasional mechanical problem rather than introduce a substantial change
in the format of the regulations.

[]
R. L. Bannerman

over

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Bob.

APR 20 10 10 PM '70

If we have become so
bureaucratic that we can't
change a title which is out
of date by a year or two
without a full coordinating
process, then we are in
bad shape indeed. In
short I don't swallow
any part of their argument
insofar as it attempts to
explain why you didn't
use current and officially
approved titles.



22 Apr 70

APR 23

10 57 AM '70

STA